

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address \* MMISSO MERCH FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS F. John 145 Mesandra Spring 113.3 (18) Awards 155

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	SO CONFIRMATION NO	
09 826,012	04 05 2001	Kenichi Chujo	0303-0444P	3847	
2292 7:	590 - 05 16 2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747	CHANG, VICTOR S			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b></b>					<b>.</b>	AS
			Application	No.	Applicant(s)	- <b>1</b> 1
Office Action Summary			09/826,012		CHUJO ET AL.	
			Examiner		Art Unit	
	TI MAN NO DATE (11)		Victor S Cha	-	1771	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comm or Reply	unication a <sub>l</sub>	ppears on the c	over sheet with	the correspondence addre	·ss
THE - Exte - after - If the - If NO - Failu - Arry I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this object of the period for reply specified above is less than thirt of period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b):	DICATION ons of 37 CFR 1 immunication (30) days, a rent statutory periodiciply will, by statuts after the mailing	I 136(a) In no event eply within the statuto d will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply ry minimum of thirty (3 expire SIX (6) MONTHS ation to become ABAN	, be timely filed  0) days will be considered timel, S from the mailing date of this comm  DONED (35 U S C § 133)	unication
1)	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>08</u>	3 January 2003	. <del>.</del>		
2a) <u>⊡</u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) <u></u> ⊤	his action is no	on-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the proon of Claims	ion for allov actice unde	vance except for er <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	or formal matter hyle, 1935 C.D	rs, prosecution as to the m 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
4)	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the	application	٦.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 4			sideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[-	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/	or election requ	uirement.		
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[] :	The specification is objected to by	the Examin	er.			
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January</u>	2003 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted	d or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any of					
11)[১] -	The proposed drawing correction fi	led on <u>08 J</u>	anuary 2003 is	∴ a)⊠ approved	d b) ☐ disapproved by the	Examiner.
🗆 -	If approved, corrected drawings are			e action.		
	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the E	ixaminer.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a cla		gn priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of					
	1. Certified copies of the priori					
	2. Certified copies of the priori				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copie application from the Inte ee the attached detailed Office act</li> </ol>	rnational B	ureau (PCT Ru	ıle 17.2(a)).		ge
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for domes	tic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional app	plication).
a	☐ The translation of the foreign I cknowledgment is made of a clain	anguage pr	rovisional appli	cation has been	received.	,
Attachment			•	33		
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 2 . 6)	Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Application/Control Number: 09/826,012 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

**1.** The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn.

## **Drawings**

3. The proposed and corrected drawings filed on 1/8/2003 have been approved.

# Response to Amendment

4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 0331447, substantially for the reasons set forth in section 4 of Paper No. 7, together with the following additional observations.

With respect to Applicants' Response arguing that "forming by vacuum evacuation is not used in EP '447" (Response, page 6, second complete paragraph), the Examiner repeats (see Page 3 of Paper No. 7) that the product-by-process recitation in claims 1-2 is either inherent in an article formed by a compression molding process, or an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art, which further has not as yet been shown on the record to produce a patentably distinct article.

Alternatively, EP '447 also teaches that in the prior art removing the air from the mold cavity by vacuum is a conventional process step during compression molding (pg. 2,

Application/Control Number: 09/826,012

Art Unit: 1771

Ins. 20-25). As such, if the reference is not anticipating, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to form the article by including an evacuation step in a compression molding process, motivated by the desire to fill the mold properly.

With respect to Applicants' argument that "EP '447 does not disclose the object of avoiding a shrinkage cavity..." (Response, page 6, bottom paragraph), the Examiner reiterates (see Page 3 of Paper No. 7) that the results summarized in Table 1 shows that, under suitable molding pressure, the composite is still of practical use (pg. 8, Ins. 7-36), i.e., clearly the composite has not suffered "shrinkage cavity" and remains to be useful, and again the product-by-process recitation in claims 1-2 has not as yet been shown on the record to produce a patentably distinct article.

5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0331447 in view of admitted prior art, substantially for the reasons set forth in section 5 of Paper No. 7, together with the following additional observations.

With respect to Applicants' argument that "Nor does Applicants' background art cure the deficiencies of EP '447 as a primary reference" (Response, page 7, third paragraph), the Examiner reiterates (see page 4 of Paper No. 7) that Applicants appear to admit that the prior art teaches that when a foamed resin component is joined to a surface material with smaller cell size in the joint area, the molding irregularity is removed (Specification, pg. 5, lines 11-21), as such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify EP '447 to place the surface layer against the side of foam with smaller expansion ratio (i.e., small cell size) as taught by admitted prior art, motivated by the desire to reduce irregularity in the molded laminate.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,012

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP <del>1300</del>

2 /